AN AEM TOOL FOR THE
EVALUATION OF UNDESIGNED WASTE STORAGE FACILITIES

REFERENCE

INTRODUCTION
CAFO permits require an Agricultural waste management plan that meets NRCS standards. Some Waste Storage Facilities on farms have not been designed or installed under the direction of an engineer or NRCS employee with the appropriate Job approval authority. This guide describes the recommended evaluation process to help determine if a waste storage facility that has no records of being designed or has no As-Built documentation substantially meets the standard. This Guide does not apply to new practices installed under a CAFO permit.

Commonly Associated Practices or Processes

Note: To determine whether a National or New York Conservation Standard applies to this and any other associated practices, check the following website: www.ny.nrcs.usda.gov. Click on the Technical Resources button. Look in the left-hand column for “eFOTG” on the next screen. Under “Access eFOTG”, click on NY State on the U.S.A. map. Choose the county of interest. Then click on section IV under eFOTG in the left column to look for the Conservation Practice Standards located in Section IV.

Table A: Commonly Associated Processes or Practices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Job/Engineering Sheets</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NY312</td>
<td>Waste Management System</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>342</td>
<td>Critical Area Planting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>362</td>
<td>Diversion</td>
<td>NY ENG 22 and 23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>361</td>
<td>Heavy Use Area Protection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>382</td>
<td>Fence</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>590</td>
<td>Nutrient Management</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>633</td>
<td>Waste Utilization</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>634</td>
<td>Manure Transfer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY748</td>
<td>Record Keeping</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>353</td>
<td>Monitoring Well</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY731</td>
<td>Well Water Testing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>360</td>
<td>Closure of Waste Impoundments</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>521A - 521D</td>
<td>Pond Sealing or Lining</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
OTHER REFERENCES


Site/operation specific Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan.


Current Soil Survey Data.


NYS Consolidated Laws, Environmental Conservation Title 10, Water Pollution Control, Section 17-0803, SPDES Permits; Application.

Article 17 Environmental Conservation Law, 6NYCRR, Part 750, State Pollution Discharge Elimination System (SPDES). [http://www.dec.state.ny.us/website/dow/PhaseII.html](http://www.dec.state.ny.us/website/dow/PhaseII.html)

CULTURAL RESOURCES

Cultural resource reviews are normally required for all ground disturbing practices, components, or other activities, as per the State Level Agreement between NRCS and the New York State Historic Preservation Officer. Unless modification of the existing storage is needed, this will not be required for the activities covered by this guide.

PERMITS AND NOTIFICATIONS

All permits, easements, and rights-of-way are the responsibility of the landowner. Dig Safely NY (formerly the Underground Facilities Protection Organization, or UFPO) and non-member local utilities will be contacted according to the time required before construction to mark all applicable facilities in the construction area. This is the responsibility of the excavator.

Identification and the location of all other farmstead underground or overhead facilities is the responsibility of the landowner.

Ground disturbing activities of less than one (1) acre do not need an Erosion and Sediment Control Plan or corresponding permit. Also, a permit is not needed if the project meets the criteria of the Management Practices in conformance with the SPDES General Permit for storm water discharges from construction activity; GP-02-01 signed September 2003.

An Erosion and Sediment Control plan may be needed if modifications to the storage facility are required.
INVENTORY AND EVALUATION

Working from the current CNMP (all waste storage facilities must have and be part of a CNMP), and in consultation with the CNMP Planner and the producer, the licensed professional engineer will do the following:

- Evaluate the type of farming operation, waste management and runoff handling system, and the producer management level.

- Determine the type of animals, herd size, average animal weight, average weight gain/milk production (if applicable), source, quantity and consistency of waste stored, bedding material, and volume of waste water (including any silage leachate, milkhouse waste, and other contaminated water or liquids that will be directed into the structure). See if they are accurately accounted for in the Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan.

- Evaluate the existing storage site location for feasibility, giving consideration to manure transfer systems for the loading and unloading. Give consideration to existing buildings, future expansion, access routes, traffic patterns, drainage, utilities, equipment capabilities, safety, neighbors, possible odor problems, siting, and appearances. Utilize current soil survey information to evaluate map units and potential inclusions for project compatibility on the site.

- Evaluate other appurtenances of the waste storage facility such as manure transfer components, piping, drainage features, soil erosion control features, runoff control practices, push off walls, access ramps, safety features, fencing, etc.

- Determine the waste storage volume needed using the storage period from the unloading and spreading schedule from the CNMP. Also determine the length, width, depth and actual storage volume capacity of the existing structure. Use design procedure contained in NRCS Practice Guideline Waste Storage Structure - 313.

- Evaluate the watershed and specific site using professional judgement to determine the potential risk to the environment. Based on risk potential, the investigation can be adjusted for thoroughness. Information on well depths and locations, aquifers, bedrock location and condition, surface water sources and flow patterns could be pertinent to an investigation. Review soil survey information on the soils permeability and suitability for a waste storage facility. Other waste storage facilities and/or ponds in the area may give insight to the potential for seepage from the waste storage facility being evaluated.

- Collect design and construction data. Determine when the storage was built, and who the contractor was. Determine what types of construction equipment and methods were used. Determine the site conditions during construction and the types of soils encountered. Determine that the side slopes are appropriate and stable, and that there are not any signs of sliding, cracking, or poor maintenance. Determine if the dike top width is adequate. Determine the history of use for the storage. Determine if the embankment meets the criteria of the Waste Storage Facility Standard.

- Evaluate the ground water. Historic water quality data from nearby wells may contain important information. Determine the nitrate (NO₃) levels and bacteria counts in the nearby wells. Determine if there is other evidence that the waste storage facility is leaking. If the evaluation confirms that there is leakage, or the probability thereof, a
a geologic site investigation shall be conducted by a qualified individual to determine the extent of the leakage, and if the soils are suitable for an earthen waste storage facility.

- Based on this initial inventory and evaluation determine the need for additional investigations.

GEOLOGIC INVESTIGATION

**Electromagnetic Induction** (EMI) meters may be used to obtain preliminary estimates of site conditions. These devices are non-invasive, are easily transported by one individual (EM-31), or in some cases two individuals (EM-34), and when placed on the ground surface can penetrate soil to theoretical depths of up to 30 meters (~100 feet). Electromagnetic Induction surveys, when properly interpreted, help to identify “areas of less or more concern”, and assist in planning more detailed subsurface investigations. These areas can be evaluated later by digging test pits, or by installing monitoring wells to monitor seepage.

**Soil Test Pits.** If the waste storage facility can be emptied and there is access to the bottom, test pits can be dug to determine the condition of the existing soil lining. The dike can be evaluated in a similar manner. Exercise caution to properly dig and backfill the test pits to prevent this investigation from causing leaks. Test pits can be dug outside the waste storage facility both uphill and downhill. Consideration should be given to soil permeability, stability, foundation, seepage, and location of water table and bedrock. Conditions on the site will determine how many test pits to dig, where to dig them, and how deep to go. If seepage is suspected, testing should be done for ammonia (NH₄), nitrate (NO₃), and bacteria.

**Soil Sampling.** Representative soil samples should be collected and tested by a certified soils laboratory using the most current ASTM testing procedures. Grain size distribution, Atterberg limits, and permeability tests should be performed on all samples. Soil should be classified according to the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS) and tested for permeability at the compactive effort of the in situ soil density condition. In the event that the soil tests or permeability test results are unsuitable, consideration should be given to selecting an alternative waste storage facility site, an alternative waste storage facility type, or the employment of a liner.

**Seepage Determinations.** Where appropriate direct seepage calculations may be performed using sensitive water level measurements, and a mass balance based on inputs minus outputs. Evaporation and precipitation and any influent need to be measured accurately. These results should be compared to estimated rates.

CONCLUSIONS/RECOMMENDATIONS

**Monitoring System.** It may be necessary to install a monitoring well or system to check for future seepage if the site is sensitive environmentally and the soils are marginal. Shallow wells that are sampled on a regular basis may be appropriate. There may also be
tools like the electromagnetic induction (EMI) survey that can be used to map areas of higher nutrients leaving a waste storage facility without installing and monitoring wells.

Reference: NRCS Conservation Practice Standard, Code #353
“MONITORING WELL”

Reference: NRCS Conservation Practice (Interim) Standard, #NY731
“WELL WATER TESTING”

Liner Installation: The waste storage facility may need to be lined if the evidence shows that there is a problem, or the potential of a problem, on a sensitive site where risks need to be reduced. An earthen lining with suitable soils placed according to detailed engineering specifications may be used. A geo-synthetic flexible membrane lining that is designed for the site that meets the manufacturer’s specifications may be another alternative.

Reference: NRCS Conservation Practice Standards, Code #521A - #521D
POND SEALING OR LINING - Flexible Membrane - 521A
POND SEALING OR LINING – Soil Dispersant - 521B
POND SEALING OR LINING – Bentonite Sealant – 521C
POND SEALING OR LINING – Compacted Clay Treatment – 521D

Closure: The investigation may show that the un-designed waste storage facility is a hazard to the environment and there is no feasible way to prevent it from being a potential pollution problem. Emptying the waste storage facility and filling it in or breaching the dike may be needed to prevent it from being a safety or environmental hazard.

Reference: NRCS Conservation Practice Standard, Code #360
“CLOSURE OF WASTE IMPOUNDMENTS”

FINAL DOCUMENTATION REQUIREMENTS

An as-built documentation package will be developed for each un-designed manure storage facility that is evaluated using this guide. The as-built package will, as a minimum, include the following:

1. MANAGEMENT ASSESSMENT. The management assessment shall include but not be limited to the following:
a. Documentation showing that the current storage system meets the producer’s objectives.

b. Documentation showing the storage system meets the needs of the current farming operation, including waste production, storage and application requirements. Maintenance history of the storage facility shall also be included.

c. Documentation describing the best management practices being implemented to ensure storage safety; maximum operating levels are maintained and not exceeded; and the storage facility itself is maintained to current operating standards as part of the CNMP.

d. Documentation describing the existing contingency plan for when the maximum operating levels are reached and exceeded as part of the CNMP.

e. Document any monitoring and leakage collection systems that are currently implemented for the storage system.

2. SITE ASSESSMENT. The site assessment shall include, but not be limited to, the following:

a. Plan map showing locations of buildings, barnyards, roads, lanes, soil test pits (if applicable), property lines, setbacks, easements, wells, floodplains, surface waters, surface drains, drain tiles, utilities, cultural resources, and wetlands as part of the CNMP.

b. Documentation showing soil survey information, soil test results, any test pit or soil boring logs, and soil permeability will be checked if available. This documentation shall include soil layers described with respect to texture using the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS).

c. Documentation describing any saturation indicators including volume of flow and elevation. Ground water maps and well construction logs shall be included when available.

d. Documentation describing locations, dimensions, and elevations of any sinkholes and other karst features near the facility.

e. Documentation showing that the waste facility is not located in a flood prone area.

f. Local well test information should be included if testing and/or monitoring has been performed as part of the CNMP.

3. CONSTRUCTION ASSESSMENT. The construction assessment shall include, but not be limited to, the following:

a. Documentation stating when the facility was built including the time of year construction was performed.

b. Document if known the contractor and type of equipment used during construction.

c. Document if known the materials (liners, imported material, etc.) used for construction.

d. Documentation showing the results of any engineering or geological inspections performed. These inspections could address slope stability, dike top width, and any indications of failure past or present.

4. CERTIFICATION LETTER. The person performing the evaluation shall provide a letter certifying the waste storage facility based on the information included in this package. The following statement, including recommendations and signature, must be included with the certification letter:
It is my professional opinion that the structure substantially meets NRCS Standard 313 with the following exceptions”…… (if any)

1) Monitoring Requirements…… (if any)
2) Reconstruction Requirements……(if any)
3) Additional Operation and Management Requirements……(if any)
4) Closure action…………(if needed)

The letter should also include the certifying qualifications (P.E.) obtained for performing this evaluation.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE
Facilities, structures, and practices must be operated and maintained to ensure proper function and longevity. Periodic follow-up with the landowner is essential to ensure that all operation and maintenance (O&M) requirements are understood and followed.