

Agricultural Nonpoint Source Abatement and Control Program Round 29 Questions and Answers June 2, 2023

(Please also review the Round 29 RFP, Highlights and Proposal Rating Sheet for more information. If you do not find the answer to your question in any of these documents, please contact Bethany Bzduch, Bethany.bzduch@agriculture.ny.gov)

Round 29 Questions

- Q. I have been asked by a privately funded agricultural research institute to apply on their behalf for Ag NPS grant funding. They operate a Medium CAFO Dairy Farm. Is the institute eligible for state funds from the Ag NPS program?
- A. Program funds are available for nonpoint source abatement and control projects that plan or implement Ag BMPs on New York State farms. For purposes of the AgNPS Program, a farm is considered a "farm operation" as that term is defined in section 301 of the Agriculture and Markets Law (AML). A "farm operation" is defined in AML section 301(11) as "the land and on-farm buildings, equipment, manure processing and handling facilities, and practices which contribute to the production, preparation and marketing of crops, livestock and livestock products as a commercial enterprise ...". A privately funded agricultural research institute or educational organization that has a farm is eligible to receive funding through the AgNPS Program if the farm is operated as a commercial enterprise. (Round 29)
- Q. Is there a list of BMP's that require a CNMP?
- A. The AGNPS program only requires a CNMP be developed when proposing to implement a Waste Storage Facility (NRCS NY Standard 313) that is part of a Waste Storage and Transfer System. (2019, Round 25, updated Round 29)
- Q. Is a CNMP required when proposing a Waste Storage Facility (NRCS NY Standard 313) that is part of a Short-Term Waste Collection and Transfer System?

 A. When implementing a Short-Term Waste Collection and Transfer System that includes a Waste Storage Facility one of the following plan requirements must be met: a combined AEM Tier 3A Farmstead and Nutrient Management Core Plan, a combined AEM Tier 3A Farmstead and Full 590 Plan, or a CNMP
- Q. Are permit fees that may be required for BMP system implementation considered eligible costs?
- A. These costs are eligible for state cost-share assistance or match contribution by the sponsor or landowner.

Q. A landowner is participating with NRCS and has been funded for a EQIP project to build a Waste Storage Facility (313). The waste storage facility will be used to store agricultural waste (manure solids, silage waste, etc.) and generate topsoil from them. This operation has been in production for decades and is reducing environmental impacts from agriculture through composting of agricultural wastes. Is this landowner eligible to apply for AGNPS R29 since the agricultural product is topsoil and he has no crops and/or livestock?

A. AGNPS Program funds are available for nonpoint source abatement and control projects that plan or implement Ag BMPs on New York State farms. For purposes of the AgNPS Program a farm is considered a "farm operation" as that term is defined in section 301 of the Agriculture and Markets Law (AML). A "farm operation" is defined in AML section 301(11) as "the land and on-farm buildings, equipment, manure processing and handling facilities, and practices which contribute to the production, preparation and marketing of crops, livestock and livestock products as a commercial enterprise ..."

The operation described does not meet the definition of farm operation as their facilities do not contribute to the production and sale of crops, livestock, or livestock products. This facility would not be eligible to participate in the AGNPS program.

Frequently Asked Questions

Population Served by Public Drinking Water Supply

The following website can be used when determining the population served by a Public Drinking Water Supply:

https://www.health.ny.gov/environmental/water/drinking/pws contacts/map pws contacts.html. For further assistance, Districts can also reach out to their county health departments or NYS Department of Health Source Water Assessment & Protection Program, Bureau of Water Supply Protection.

Equipment Eligibility

The purchase of specialized equipment may be considered an eligible expense under the program if deemed a reasonable percentage of the total project cost as determined by the State Committee and is considered an integral component of the project. <u>If considering the purchase of specialized equipment (cost share or landowner match)</u>, <u>approval must be obtained by the State Committee prior to equipment purchase</u>. Manure spreaders will no longer be considered for funding but can be used as part of the farmer's match if the waste storage system being implemented requires the farmer to purchase a different type of spreader, i.e. liquid vs. solid. (2002, Round IX, updated 2018)

Q. Can letters of support be included in the grant application?

A. No. Applicants should substantiate an organization's support and are free to obtain support letters for their own files, but these letters should not be included in the application. Any letters that accompany an application will be removed prior to distribution to reviewers. Applicants may list agencies and groups who support the proposal in the project narrative of the application form. (2002, Round IX, updated 2019)

Q. I'm looking to apply for AgNPS funding to construct a bunk silo for a farm I'm working on. Can a NM-Core plan suffice in place of a full 590 CNMP?

A. Through the AGNPS program, if a landowner is seeking funds for an implementation project, they must have completed an AEM Tier 3 plan, which could include a Tier 3A, Tier 3B, or Tier 3C. If the landowner is seeking funds to implement a Waste Storage Facility (NRCS NY 313), the program requires that a CNMP be completed prior to application. If you will be applying for funding to construct a new bunk silo, please make sure to review the Relocation of Silage Bunks/New Bunk Construction Policy. (2022, Round 28)

Q. Is a not-for-profit farm eligible?

A. To be eligible for the AGNPS program, participants must be a landowner who is currently renting the land to an agricultural operation or the participant must meet the definition of a "farm operation" as defined in the Agriculture and Markets law: "Farm operation" means the land and on-farm buildings, equipment, manure processing and handling facilities, and practices which contribute to the production, preparation and marketing of crops, livestock and livestock products as a commercial enterprise ..." (AGM Article 25-AA § 301). A not-for-profit farm could be eligible if it meets the criteria of being a commercial enterprise, regardless of its 501(c)3 status.

- Q. A farm is currently producing compost from waste (i.e., manure, bedding, silage) that is imported to the farm. The farm has no on-farm generated waste. Can they apply for a manure composting facility through AGNPS if all of the waste is brought in from other local dairy farms?
- A. Yes, the farm would be eligible to apply for a manure composting facility through the AGNPS program. (2022, Round 28)
- Q. Are landowners that are non-farmers eligible to participate in the program? The landowner interested in applying to the AGNPS program currently rents land to an agricultural operation.

A. Non-Farming landowners could be eligible to participate in the AGNPS program. The land where the best management practice will be located must be actively worked by a farm operation. A rental agreement between the non-farming landowner and the farm operation must be in place for the lifespan of the proposed best management practice system must be documented in the AEM Tier 3 plan developed for the operating farm. (2022, Round 28)

- Q. A farm received cost share assistance through AGNPS in a previous round. The farm has since been sold and is now under new ownership. Would a new landowner but same farm qualify as a farm who has not previously received cost share assistance through the program?
- A. If the new owner of the farm has not received cost share assistance through a previous Round of AGNPS they would qualify. (2022, Round 28)
- Q. Would a streambank project to protect agricultural fields from eroding using a structural practice (rock riprap) with bioengineering qualify through AgNPS?

 A. Yes, this project would be eligible through the AGNPS program. These practices would be part of a Stream Corridor and Shoreline Management System. (2022, Round 28)
- Q. A farm has animal numbers currently that makes them CAFO size and they are now going through the correct process to officially be a CAFO. They are not a registered CAFO with DEC yet but are taking necessary steps to do that. For the application: How do I answer questions 1,4, and 20?

- A. The application questions need to be answered based on the current status of the farm at the time of application.
- Q. A Bedded Pack system which will have a scrap alley to a small storage is being proposed. Because it is roofed does a screening tool for both HUAP and for the Waste Storage Facility need to be completed?
- A. The Waste Storage and Transfer System Bedded Pack/Composted Bedded Pack Cost Share Eligible Practices Policy states that both screening tools need to be completed when implementing a Bedded Pack or Compost Bedded Pack System.
- Q. A farm would like to construct an Agrichemical Handling Facility. The farm currently gets water from a neighbor's pond to fill his sprayer. Wells in the area are not an option due to lack of water supply. Can we construct a small pond as a water source for the A.H.F with the sole purpose being water supply for the facility?

 A. According to the NRCS NY Standard Agrichemical Handling Facility (309), it is necessary to provide an adequate water supply for mixing agrichemicals, rinsing tanks and containers, and for emergency health and safety needs as appropriate for the facility. If the proposed Agrichemical Handling & Storage System requires a new water supply (i.e., a well or pond) this would be an eligible cost.
- Q. A farm previously received AGNPS funds to implement a collection apron for a silage bunk. The collection apron is still within the O&M lifespan. The remaining portion of the bunk is becoming degraded and the farm would like to apply the AGNPS program to address the new resource concern. Is this an eligible project?

 A. This is an eligible project because the farm is addressing a new resource concern that was not present when funding was previously applied for. However, in this instance, the proposed project meets the definition of a Repeat BMP System and would need to be designated as such in the application.
- Q. The farm currently uses treated pond water for their water source for drinking water and all other farm uses. According to the farm the treated water tests well, but the treatment is costly and quantity has been an issue at times. There is a village public water line that they would like to connect to if possible. Can the water line from a village to a farm be included as a practice within the Agrichemical Handing & Storage System? Can the farm use the water line as part of their in-kind percentage if it is not an eligible bmp but they want to connect to village water anyway? A. Establishing a new water supply for the **ENTIRE** farm is not eligible for cost share assistance. According to the NRCS NY Standard Agrichemical Handling Facility (309), it is necessary to provide an adequate water supply for mixing agrichemicals, rinsing tanks and containers, and for emergency health and safety needs as appropriate for the facility. The costs associated with providing a water supply to the agrichemical handling facility from an existing water supply for the farm can be cost shared. Additionally, if the proposed Agrichemical Handling & Storage System requires a new water supply (i.e., a well) this would be an eligible cost. Only costs that are directly associated with the proposed Best Management Practice System are eligible for match.
- Q. A CAFO farm wants to apply for a satellite waste storage. A waste transfer would move the waste from the main pit to the satellite pit using a PTO pump that could be moved. Is the mobile pump eligible for cost share or landowner match? A second waste transfer would move waste from the satellite pit to a large block of land where the manure would be land applied. Would the underground piping and pump be

eligible for cost share or only for landowner match? There would be a frac tank and pump to unload the tank and fill the spreaders at the end of the second waste transfer. Could this equipment be either cost shared or landowner match?

A. A portable manure pump shall be considered as landowner match only. The underground piping and pump for the waste transfer would be eligible for cost share or landowner match. Items that are integral to the function of the BMP system may be considered for cost share or landowner match, however, portable pumps shall be considered as landowner match only.

Q. While siting a location for manure storage a private planner shows it adjacent to a wet area with wetland vegetation that IS NOT mapped as a state or federal wetland. What is the proper action to continue with a grant application? Should the Army Corps of Engineers be contacted prior to the application?

A. Given the proximity of the proposed storage location to an area displaying characteristics of a wetland, the landowner, private planner, and SWCD may want to consider alternative locations. If this is not possible, NRCS should be contacted to begin the process of a conducting a wetland determination. The District may still submit the application, with the understanding that it is the responsibility of the District/LO to determine the need for and obtain all permits necessary. Note, permits do not need to be obtained prior to submitting the application. While not required, as much of this as possible should be worked out prior to application to evaluate feasibility of the project. Additionally, when constructing a 313 Waste Storage close to a seasonal water table extra design criterion must be considered. Geological assessments/permits/extra design criteria can result in project delays and/or increased project costs.

- Q. Under the Ag Nonpoint Source Program, is it a requirement for earthen waste storages to be lined (regardless of suitable soils) as in the CAFO Program?

 A. No, this is not currently a requirement through the AGNPS program. However, synthetic liners are eligible for cost share as components of a Waste Storage and Transfer system.
- Q. A farm is interested in applying for funding for a standalone waste transfer. The transfer system they are wanting to implement would connect the existing waste storage at their main farmstead to a satellite storage that has not been built yet. This satellite storage is a NRCS funded project that is intended to be built this year, but currently does not exist. The proposed waste transfer would need to cross multiple landowners, several roads, several streams, as well as crossing between two HUC 12's to connect the two storages. Is this proposed waste transfer eligible for funding? A. The standalone waste transfer practice would be eligible as a part of an Agricultural Waste Storage and Transfer System. NRCS funding counts as landowner match so the funding devoted to the storage would be reported under the landowner column as a part of the entire system. The state share for the transfer would be reported as a part of the entire system and listed under state contribution. The District and landowner would be responsible for obtaining all permits to cross landowner boundaries, streams, roads, etc. for this transfer. As much of this as possible should be worked out prior to application to evaluate feasibility of this approach. Tentative landowner permissions and a list of required permits should be obtained prior to application to evaluate the feasibility of this approach. If the project is selected for funding the landowner will be required to have a lease or easement in place on all non-owned property that the transfer line would cross over prior to the development of a contract with the District.

Q. A farm is interested in doing a combination of no-till and cover crop. The three-year plan has no-till in all three years but cover crop in only one due to crop rotation limitations. According to the Soil Health policy, the BMP system needs to have a three-year commitment. In this scenario, the no-till and cover crop are technically the same system, but we do not have a three-year commitment on cover crop alone. Is this something that would be eligible? Or do we need to have a three-year commitment on the cover crop and no-till separately?

A. The Soil Health Policy states, "...component practices with per unit rates will be cost shared for a three-year term for competitive cost share programs or two-year term for AEM Base cost-share. The commitment to a three-year or two-year term is aimed to facilitate successful practice adoption considering the need to demonstrate practice success over more than one growing season." If cover crop is included in the system as a component practice, the farm must be committed to implementing it for three years. However, there is not currently a rule against changing fields for cover crops based on rotation as long as the total acres proposed to be cover cropped is implemented.

Q. Under Ag. Non-Point, under the cover crop vegetative practice specification, lime can be added as a soil amendment using requirements of NYS Ag. & Markets by soil test. Can a District recommend a much higher rate of lime application while utilizing cover crops and reduced tillage management to further reduce sediment and nutrient removal via surface runoff or leaching?

A. Recommending a higher rate of lime application would not make the cover crop project ineligible. When planning for implementation, this recommendation should be detailed and explained in the AEM Tier 3A Cover Crop Planning, Implementation, and Evaluation Tool (or similar planning document). It should also be noted that recommending a higher application rate of lime can increase the overall project cost which should be taken into consideration if utilizing a flat rate per acre.

Q. Farms that are going to be installing cover crops have many fields covering the whole county, multiple watersheds and HUC areas. When filling out the application should the watershed and HUC that the farmstead is located on be reported, even though some of their tracts that the cover crops will be put on will be on different ones?

A. The Application Form states, "Please list the 12 Digit Hydrologic Unit Code(s) being addressed by the proposed project". Please list all HUCs that will be addressed by the proposed project. The HUC that the farmstead is located in should be listed on the SW 1 – Participating Landowner form.

Q. Can you confirm yes or no, if a farm operation (50 acres of vineyard) which also presses grapes would be eligible for cost share assistance? Specifically addressing the wastewater and sludge produced at the press operation.

A. If it is a facility that requires a SPDES permit to handle process waste, then it is considered a point source and therefore not eligible for funding under this program. (2005, Round XII)

Q. If a farm is under a consent order from DEC, are they eligible for funding through the Ag NPS program?

A. A farm would not be ineligible because of the consent order. It should be noted, however, that due to the time frame of the grant program, applicants should not expect to use grant funds for a problem that requires an urgent, immediate remedy. (2002, Round IX)

Q. [UPDATED – 11/4/10] Q. Referring to Question 5. "Are all regulated CAFO farms compliant with appropriate requirements? (CAFO = Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation.)" Can a CAFO that has not yet met CAFO requirements be included on an application?

A. No, a CAFO that has not met CAFO requirements is not eligible to be included on an application and cannot be considered for funding. (2004, Round XI).

The Department of Environmental Conservation recommends and the Ag NPS contract requires that funding not be provided to a CAFO that has been issued a Notice of Violation under the terms of the CAFO General SPDES Permit by which the farm is permitted at time of application (CWA Permit – GP-04-02 or ECL Permit – GP-0-09-001). If a CAFO that is part of a funded project receives a Notice of Violation for either type of permit, it must agree to a consent order with a compliance schedule or otherwise resolve the Notice of Violation with DEC, in order to release Ag NPS funding [UPDATED – 11/4/10].

Letter from Division Director to Undisclosed District (January, 2006) "The [AgNPS Program] requires that participating farms be in compliance with all federal, state, and local laws, rules, and regulations. The DEC-Division of Water has informed us that the Blank Farm is not in compliance with their CAFO General Permit and has not addressed the terms of the Consent Order issued on... When the Blank Farm is in compliance with the terms of the Permit, we can release funds for the projects identified on the plan of work. Until such time, however, no state funded expenses can be allocated to the Blank Farm's portion of the contract. Consequently, all entitled advances cannot include state funds for the Blank Farm portion as identified on the plan of work."

Q. In an implementation project, though most equipment is not eligible for state funding, would a pump for a waste storage facility be considered equipment?

A. Items that are an integral part of the BMP may be considered for state funding. Other related equipment may be eligible as a match. In either case, equipment should be listed in the Other Direct Expenses category. (2002, Round IX)

Q. Can the AgNPS Program provide cost share for BMP Systems that utilize NRCS Standards from other states for the purpose of design, implementation, and certification?

A. BMP Systems cost-sharable under the AgNPS Grants Program must be identified in the Agricultural Best Management Practice Systems Catalogue and the individual Conservation Practices must meet NRCS-NY Practice Standards. If NRCS-NY has approved the use of a particular standard or suite of standards to address a NPS pollution concern, standards from other states cannot be used in place of the NRCS-NY standard(s). If no NRCS-NY Practice Standard exists for a particular NPS pollution concern, then the Practice must be designed to meet nationally recognized standards (e.g. American Concrete Institute Standards). All practices implemented must be approved by an individual with appropriate approval authority. (Round 19, 2012, updated Round 22, 2016)

Q. Can grant funds be used to pay for roof structures where a consultant engineer will not allow/approve a wastewater treatment strip?

A. Grant funds may be used to cost-share a roof structure if the project engineer documents that the roof is needed to meet the water quality objectives of the planned best management practice. This alternative may be costly. If proposing this alternative, the Screening Tool for Covered Heavy Use Areas must be completed, signed and submitted with each copy of the

proposal. Please refer to the Roofs and Covers for Livestock Heavy Use Area Runoff Management Systems: Cost Share Eligible Components to determine what components are eligible for cost share. (Updated for Round 20).

Q. Would excluding livestock and establishing a marginal pastureland wetland buffer (CREP CP 30) that meets the appropriate NRCS-NY Standard(s) qualify for preference points pursuant to the Proposal Rating Sheet?

A. Yes, the purpose of the preference points is to encourage the adoption of livestock access control and buffers for all hydrologically sensitive areas, including wetlands. In order to qualify for the points, all livestock on the farm must be excluded from all wetlands where they currently have access. (Round 19, 2012)

Q. If a BMP being applied for is on rented property; should the lease between farmer and landowner be for the life of the practice (10Yrs) or the life of the practice plus the years in the contract (13-14yrs) (the BMP doesn't get implemented until the last year of the contract)? Also, should the lease be on file and submitted with the application or do we not need it until the Plan of Work?

A. According to the RFP, "BMPs to be implemented on rented property should not be submitted for funding unless there is a written lease for the use of the property for the life span of the BMP (see attached BMP Operation & Maintenance Guidelines, Page 17)." In order to qualify for funding under the AG NPS program, the farmer must have an existing lease with the landowner. The lease would need to cover application, award, construction, and the lifespan of the BMP. In your scenario, if the BMP system is not implemented until the last year of a 4 year contract and there is a 10 year lifespan, the lease would be 14 years plus the application time period. According to previous Q and A, "The District should ensure that the lease exists, but it need not be included in the application. If funding is awarded, a copy of the lease may be required." (2002, Round IX, 2017, Round 23)

Q. Is a Center Pivot Irrigation System eligible for cost share? This is a system that is fixed in a field and pivots around the well water source to irrigate a large tract of land. It will be computer controlled, with drop water nozzles that regulate the water flow to match field conditions and crop needs.

A. Implementing conservation systems on acreage that is rotated between specialty crops and field crops is acceptable. Implementing an irrigation system that would provide a water quality benefit would be acceptable as part of an Irrigation Water Management System. (2017, Round 23)

Q. A CAFO dairy farm currently buries their dead animals. They are interested in purchasing an incinerator in order to manage animal mortalities. Is it possible to fund an incinerator through the ag nonpoint source program?

A. This would be an eligible practice through the Ag Non-Point Source Program. It will need to be implemented in accordance with the NRCS-NY 316 -Animal Mortality Facility Standard and Specifications. The District will need to verify the requirement for special permits prior to implementation. (2019, Round 25)

Q. We have 2 barnyards on the same farm that we are applying for Roofs and Covers (367). The barnyards run parallel to one another and are only separated by 20 feet. Do we need to submit separate barnyard screening tools for each barnyard or can we define each barnyard on the same screening tool.

A. If these projects are considered two separate Livestock Heavy Use Area Runoff Management systems then a Covered Heavy Use Area Screening Tool would need to be

completed for each system. If they are part of the same system, one screening tool may be submitted.

- Q. Does the "Screening Tool For Roofs and Covers for Heavy Use Areas" need to be filled out for an Agrichemical Handling and Storage System?
- A. The Screening Tool for Roofs and Covers for Heavy Use Areas does not need to be filled out for an Agrichemical Handling and Storage System.
- Q. I am working with a farm that would like to address issues from a bunk silo area. The current silo does not have a concrete floor, only crushed stone and millings. Can a concrete floor be cost shared or only landowner match?

 A. The bunk silo floor can be cost shared or used as landowner match as part of a Silage Leachate Control and Treatment System. (2018, Round 24)
- Q. Could a project be allowed for funding if the silage leachate (i.e., total collection) goes to an existing six-month storage that was not designed by an engineer?

 A. The waste storage facility that will collect the silage leachate must meet the NRCS 313 Waste Storage Facility standard and be certified by a professional engineer in order to be eligible. (2018, Round 24)
- Q. Can the AgNPS Program cost share the construction of a ramp into an existing waste storage to facilitate the clean out of manure? The existing storage does meet NRCS Standards but clean out is difficult.
- A. Construction of the clean out ramp would not be eligible in an existing certified storage as it is not a standalone water quality BMP. (2014, Round 20)
- Q. A farm is interested in installing a satellite storage away from the farmstead. The farm indicates that there is a used storage (slurry store) that they can purchased for a reduced cost. If they were to purchase the used slurry store, can they apply for Ag Non Point to help offset the costs of installing the slurry onto their property?

 A. A used Slurrystore can be used and cost shared provided a representative of Slurrystore oversees the construction of the storage. The storage as set up in its new location will need to be certified that it is structurally sound and meets the NRCS standard 313 Waste Storage Structure. All other program requirements such as the use of the Waste Storage Screening Tool, and a CNMP eventually being developed or revised to reflect the presence and proper use of the Slurrystore must be met (Round 22, 2016).
- Q. Are Bedded Packs [or Composted Bedded Packs] eligible practice system[s] for manure storage and feeding? If so what components would be cost shareable?

 A. Yes, both Bedded Packs and Composted Bedded Pack Systems for manure storage or composting are eligible practice systems through the Ag NPS Grant Program. Components that are an integral part of the practice standard being applied may be considered for state funding. Other related or indirect components may be eligible as a match. The SWCC approved a policy on February18, 2014 outlining the requirements for Waste Storage and Transfer System Bedded Pack / Composted Bedded Pack Cost Share Eligible Practices
- Q. For BMPs on rented property, does a copy of the lease have to be included with the application?

Please contact the SWCC office for a copy of this policy. (Updated for Round 21).

A. No. The District should ensure that the lease exists, but it need not be included in the application. If funding is awarded, a copy of the lease may be required. (2002, Round IX)

Q. Can an applicant mix recommended and actual salary rates for District staff?

A. Typically, applicants assign either recommended or actual rates for District staff and cannot mix the two rates (2002, Round IX). The exception to this would be if a District employs a Professional Engineer and wishes to charge their actual hourly rate. If using an actual hourly rate for a District Engineer overhead expenses cannot be charged. *See current RFP for further details. (Updated 2019)

Q. Is there a specified hourly rate for landowner match? May the \$5/hour overhead be used?

A. No hourly rate has been specified for landowners. Districts determine a reasonable rate, based on the work the landowner will be performing. The \$5/hour overhead may not be used for landowners; it is to be used for agency personnel only, and only when the recommended hourly rates are used. Landowner match must be documented on the SW 3 form, NOT the SW 4 Personnel Worksheet (2002, Round IX, updated Round 27 2021)

Q. Multiple proposals from a county must be clearly prioritized. How is this done? What section must this information be present?

A. Priorities are assigned by the local SWCD which submits multiple proposals. The application form includes a section for Project Priority ID#. Instructions pertaining to the assignment of a Project Priority ID are included in the RFP highlights document. (1995, Round III, updated 2019, 2021)

Q. For a broad agronomic or nutrient management project like cover cropping, that covers many areas of the watershed, are we able to include a farm scale "project area map" for each farm in place of a "project site map".

A. Yes, a farm scale map showing all fields and indicating which are to be cover cropped would satisfy the farmstead/field AEM plan map requirement. A farm scale map can be submitted in lieu of a project site map for the following Best Management Practice Systems: Feed Management System, Irrigation Water Management System, Integrated Pest Management System, Nutrient Management System-Cultural, Soil Conservation System – Cultural (this includes cover crop), and Waste Storage & Transfer System.

In addition, projects proposed to protect public drinking water over a sole source aquifer can supply one project map with the farms identified and the sole source aquifer overlaid.

The intent of asking for the farmstead or field map from the AEM Plan as described in the RFP is to show the project evaluators a visual of the existing condition and resource concern including the flow-path and distance to a receiving waterbody or groundwater recharge area.

A farmstead/field AEM plan map for **project site locations** as described in the RFP shall be included for the following BMP Systems: Access Control System, Agrichemical Handling and Storage Systems, Composting System - Animal, Livestock Heavy Use Area Runoff Management System, Pathogen Management System, Petroleum and Oil Storage System, Process Wash Water Management System, Silage Leachate Control and Treatment System, and Stream Corridor and Shoreline Management System, Prescribed Rotational Grazing System, and Riparian Buffer System (2015 – Round 21, updated Round 22).

Past Performance

In the project ranking process, the review committee will consider the project sponsor's ability to complete previous projects in a timely manner. (memo from John Wildeman, 6/16/99)